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Abstract— The term “block” in Block-Based Software Development (BBSD) refers to a software component that has the characteristics of reusable, composition, customizable and configurable. Based on the principles of component-based software development and end-user development, the objective of BBSD is to allow non-programmer known as end-user to build a new application by using a set of blocks by creating composite blocks, configuring and customizing for a specific application domain. In the current implementation, a Domain Initiator is responsible for identifying blocks’ specifications, which will be uploaded to the block store repository. Block developers can contribute to developing blocks using the Java programming language. Blocks for a specific domain are bundled as a JAR file. These blocks will be stored in a block store. The block store is a software repository that provides a sharing mechanism for domain driven blocks specification, cataloging, archiving, and distribution. Before the blocks submitted to the block store can be distributed to end-users, they are required to undergo the process of block verification and evaluation to ensure that they conform to the requirement specification. The submitted blocks will also need to be approved by the domain initiator before they are made available to the end users. This paper proposes the block-based evaluation methodology as well as the software tool which helps domain initiator in the process of blocks verification and evaluation. The proposed methodology consists of three types of validation namely Automatic Validation Approach, JSR-303 or JSR-349 standard bean Validation Specification, and the manual testing. The proposed methodology itself was verified through a case study using a list of blocks submitted to the block store repository.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The block store repository is a domain driven software blocks sharing mechanism to support the Block-Based Software Development (BBSD). BBSD is a software development approach based on the principles of Component-Based Software Development (CBSD) and End-User Development (EUD) [1]. The main objective of BBSD is to allow end user programmers to develop applications by integrating blocks. End user programmers are software developers who are not trained as professional programmers, such as teachers, accountants, scientists, engineers and parents.

Within the context of BBSD, the term “block” refers to a software component that can be reused, highly composable, customizable and configurable. Blocks can be combined with other blocks to form an application without going through the normal coding process [2].

Apart from end user programmers, there are four other actors in BBSD as shown in Fig. 1. These actors are administrator, visitors, domain initiators and block developers. Administrator is a person responsible for managing the block store. Administrator is responsible for managing users accounts, creating of domains/subdomains, managing users profiles, authentication information and handling communication with all users through inbox messaging. Domain initiator is responsible for identifying a new application domain, creating sub-domains and then identifying blocks required for that particular domain. Block developers are professional programmers who are responsible for the blocks development.

A number of tools and methodologies have been developed to support the BBSD. Two of the methodologies are Blocks Identification Methodology and Block Creation Methodology. Tools that have been developed include Blocks Creation Tool [3] and Blocks Integration Tool [4]. Blocks Creation Tool helps block developers to develop blocks while Blocks Integration Tool helps end user programmers to integrate blocks.

Blocks submitted to the block store repository by block
developers need to be managed and verified by project initiator, before they can be published and distributed. This paper describes a methodology and software tools that can be used in the evaluation and verification of software blocks. The proposed methodology consists of three types of validation: Automatic Validation Approach, JSR-303 or JSR-349 standard bean Validation Specification, and the manual testing. The proposed methodology is then validated through a case study on a list of blocks submitted to the block store repository.

![Block Store Use Case diagram](image)

Fig. 1 The Block Store Use Case diagram

II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

A block is basically a software component. Currently a block is implemented by using JavaBeans technology. Thus block evaluation is related to software component evaluation. In the following subsections, we will first describe works that have been carried out in component evaluation in general and JavaBeans evaluation in particular. The third subsection describes our proposed methodology for blocks evaluation.

A. Component Evaluation

Component evaluation is performed in order to find the best component that fit a given task and to certify properties of the component [5]. The evaluation can be done in one of the three stages: during requirement analysis, design and implementation, or deployment [6]. However, Alvaro et al [7] proposes that component evaluation can only be done during certification and selection.

Component evaluation is performed based on certain goals. Thus, the mechanism, methods and type of validation is determined based on these goals. Some of the goals for components evaluation are regarding components security, performance, usability, reusability [8, 9] and maintainability [10, 11]. Research in software components evaluation is still immature and further research is required to develop techniques, methods, processes and tools [12].

B. JavaBean Validation

The JavaBean become more popular in recent years since JavaBeans specifications and conventions made it easier to implement changes to properties through setter/getter methods. In order to ensure that properties in JavaBeans have the right values in them, Java Bean Validation (JSR-303) was introduced and approved by Java Community Process (JCP) in Nov 2009. Java Bean Validation 1.1 (JSR-349) is an improved version of JSR-303 and was released in May 2013. Both JSR-303 and JSR-349 specification have made a clear imprint to standardize the dynamic validation among different providers and open the gate for a custom constraints design and implementation. Most of the frameworks for implementing JSR-303 and JSR-349 involve the use of annotations since annotations are easy to use, create and add clarity to the code, and they also provide good type safety and increase reusability [13]. However, this kind of validation is only suitable for a runtime validation and commonly used for data entry validation.

An automatic documentation annotation also can be realized on data sharing inside a program itself [14]. Simultaneously, software engineers are allowed to program the same style used previously. However, the annotations have been used as semantics validation and specification technique. In addition, the JML is designed to specify java modules and tools created to allow users to view the specifications in a convenient documentation manner, such as JMLDoc, javadoc-like, doc++, Doclet, and iDoclet [15].

The validation and evaluation surpass the syntax and semantics of data content to component compatibility issues, especially in BBSD, to help end user programmers compose blocks to form an application. A number of frameworks implement JSR-303 and JSR-349, such as JAX-RS, JAXB, JPA, CDI, Wicket, Spring, and Jface. However, these frameworks are mainly designed to work with JavaBeans
using Plain Old Java Object (POJO). Strong assumptions can be made on the type of applications that can utilize the frameworks. In addition, these frameworks should be easy to integrate with any Java project [13].

C. Proposed Methodology

A block is a type of single layer component with several characteristics identified in requirement specification documents. The specification identifies the attributes and behaviours to be verified. The list of behaviours and attributes, such as block input and output attributes, and list of behaviours/methods required are identified in the specification documents. To gain more clarity and to identify the main specification of blocks, we need to emphasize the main characteristics of the disparity between blocks and common components. These characteristics are mainly based on the interfacing and communication among the blocks and other disparities. Differences between blocks and components are shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN BLOCKS AND COMPONENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate directly with another component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They need to be designed to fit with a desired environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complicated (can have nested component)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can act as a complete system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can handle more than one intersection process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required and provide directly affect the processed result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required and provided result may differ from one to another</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Blocks are more independent in design and implementation. These blocks have nothing to share with each other directly other than through a connector. A connector is just a piece of code that handles the sequence of blocks execution. Three types of connectors are available: sequential, alternative, and random.

Table II shows the main specifications of block and connector that need to be evaluated during blocks verification. Blocks specifications consist of block number, block type, input, and output. The block type is required to determine the connector type. The input of the block is required value, while the output represents the provided value. The specification for a connector includes connector type, number of blocks, connector number, and connector type.

The block store repository is a distribution mechanism to support the BBSD. Fig. 2 illustrates the block evaluation environment where the block store plays the main role. Domain Initiator is responsible for identifying blocks specification that is then put into the block store repository. A block developer obtains the specification and then submits the developed blocks into the block store repository. The submitted blocks need to be approved by the domain initiator before they are made available to the end users.

The proposed method for evaluating blocks in the block store repository is shown in Fig. 3. It involves three types of evaluation: (i) Type 1: the validation of the standard block specification, (ii) Type 2: the runtime validation by using Standard JSR, and (iii) Type 3: manual method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II: LIST OF COMMON BLOCK SPECIFICATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The first type of evaluation involves the validation of the standard block specification. An example of the standard block specification is shown in Table III. The evaluation of the standard block specification involves validating the main block specifications implemented in the submitted block. It also checks whether all attributes and behaviors identified in a block specification are implemented in the submitted block.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block Name</th>
<th>Login Block</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block Id</td>
<td>B-E-C-10001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Type</td>
<td>Sequential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actors</td>
<td>User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributes</td>
<td>Block ID, Contract Type, Block Input, Block Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviors</td>
<td>Color, Font, Message, Main Label.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Cases</td>
<td>Login.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remark</td>
<td>The user can change the color, font, main label, and response message</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the implementation of a block, the standard block specification should be grouped in an interface that implements the main attributes and behaviors, as shown in the following code:

```java
/** The Standard Block Specifications interface */
public interface BlockSpecs {
    int getBlockID();
    int getBlockContractType();
    int getBlockInput();
    int getBlockOutput();
} // End of BlockSpecs interface
```

Since each block has different behaviours and attributes, each of these behaviours and attributes need to be properly specified. Examples of behaviours and attributes for some blocks are given in Table IV.

The validation of the standard block specification is achieved by using the following steps:

1. Check that the JAR JavaBean main class has implemented the interface BlockSpecs:
   This step will ensure that all methods returning the main specification are implemented, which can be achieved using the following code grouped into two classes: class finder and JAR Manager.

2. Check that all attributes and behaviors of the block specifications are implemented:
   This step will check whether the developed block consists of all the behaviors listed in the specification docs. It involves two sub-steps: parsing through the JAR file followed by identifying all methods and attributes that have been implemented. These methods are then compared with required specifications if available or missing is displayed.

### Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block Name</th>
<th>Behaviors</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Login</td>
<td>Color, Font, Message, Main Label</td>
<td>BackID, ContractType, BlockInput, BlockOutput</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capture Deals</td>
<td>Change printer, change text color, change tax schema, and switch to invoice option.</td>
<td>BackID, ContractType, BlockInput, BlockOutput</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage Order</td>
<td>Change text color, change background color, change order source, and change customer info</td>
<td>BackID, ContractType, BlockInput, BlockOutput</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Payment</td>
<td>Change payment method, change text color, and switch to offline payment</td>
<td>BackID, ContractType, BlockInput, BlockOutput</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage Stock</td>
<td>Change text color, change background color, report product shortage, and report nearly expired product.</td>
<td>BackID, ContractType, BlockInput, BlockOutput</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second type of evaluation is the validation of the custom block specification to ensure that properties in a block have the right values in them. In order to do this type of validation, blocks need to be annotated by using annotation method based on the standard JSR-303 & JSR-349. An example of how to design a custom block specification validator is shown in the following code:

```java
/* * @author Mostafa */
@Target({METHOD, FIELD,ANNOTATION_TYPE})
@Retention(RUNTIME)
@Constraint(validatedBy = BlockProValidator.class)
@Documented
public @interface BlockPro {
    String message() default "{validator.blockpro}";
    Class<?>[] groups() default {};
    Class<? extends Payload>[] payload() default {};
}
```

The @interface keyword is used to define an annotation type where the attributes of an annotation type are declared in a method. According to the API specifications, any
constraint annotation defines an attribute "message" that returns the default key for creating custom error messages. In cases where the constraint is violated, an attribute "groups" allows the specification of validation groups to which this constraint belongs. In addition, the annotation type Meta annotations [13] specifies the class name validator to be used for validating elements annotated with @Documented.

The third type of evaluation is the manual evaluation where a block is tested for functionality and specified features.

D. Software Tool

For the first type of evaluation, a specific software tool has been designed and implemented. Fig. 4 shows the validation service structure used to verify the submitted blocks into the block repository and illustrates main classes used to validate whether the Block Specification interface is implemented. If the evaluated block has not implemented the required properties, the evaluation mechanism should display the missing properties. Moreover, the approval link shall not be enabled and the block will not be available for selection by end user programmers.

The second type of evaluation is supported by NetBeans. The steps for carrying out the evaluation are listed as follows:
Step 1: Create an application by using NetBeans IDE.
Step 2: Create the block class. The source code should be provided.
Step 3: Create the custom validator if needed.
Step 4: Generate the test cases automatically.
Step 5: Run/compile the targeted test.
Step 6: View the test report.

The third type of evaluation is carried out by executing the block. The output of the execution is manually checked to ensure its correctness.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we show how the process of block evaluation is carried out. For the purpose of the discussion, we choose a “ProcessPayment” block that has been identified as one of the blocks needed to support online business transaction [16]. The specification of the block is given in Table V.

The first type of evaluation is done by using the software tool. To verify the block, we have to select the block to be verified and click “verify” as shown in Fig. 5. The block is then evaluated against the requirements analyzed in the block specification. The implemented behaviour is stated as “Available” while the behaviour that is not implemented is stated as “Missing”, as shown in Fig. 6. In this example “textMethod” and “paymentMethod” are available while “offlineMode” is missing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block Name</th>
<th>Process Payment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block Id</td>
<td>B-E-C-10002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Type</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actors</td>
<td>Seller / Customer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributes</td>
<td>BackID, ContractType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviors</td>
<td>Change payment method, change text color, and switch to offline payment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Cases</td>
<td>Validate payment, process payment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remark</td>
<td>The switch to offline payment should be enabled at run time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second type of evaluation is carried out by using six steps described earlier. The custom validator is created as shown in Fig. 7. The test cases are generated automatically as shown in Fig. 8. The result of the evaluation is shown in Fig. 9.
Fig. 7. Custom JSR-303 annotation specification validator

Fig. 8. Create an automatic test

Fig. 9. Test report result
Fig. 10 illustrates the user interface for the “ProcessPayment” block. The third type of evaluation is done to determine the correctness of the block. The evaluation is done by running the block “ProcessPayment” and check that the block has implemented all required properties.

Fig. 10. Result of complete block specifications

IV. CONCLUSION

Block-Based Software Development (BBSD) approach offers a software development environment that enables end user programmers to make use of the available blocks to develop applications. The Block Store repository is a place where blocks are distributed [17]. The main purpose of the block store is to enable software developers to share and distribute blocks and to allow end users to browse and select required blocks. The availability of the block store enables end user programmers to develop applications that satisfy their requirements.

The BBSD is supported by two methodologies: the block identification methodology and block creation methodology. This paper describes the third methodology needed for BBSD: block correctness evaluation methodology.

There are three types of validation that need to be carried out before a block can be considered acceptable and can then be put into the block store repository. The three types of evaluation are (i) Standard blocks specification validation, (ii) Custom block validation and (iii) manual testing techniques.

This paper has shown the feasibility of the evaluation methodology through a case study. Finally, the verified blocks for a particular subdomain have been approved for distribution and unqualified blocks a fault report is generated for developers to be corrected.
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