



### A. The concept of Regional Development

Mahi, A.K [4] studied the spatial development, the concept of regional development, among others, consists of the concept of the growth poles, the development of small towns, the development of integrated space and the development of agropolitan.

The concept of growth poles is a development aimed at economic growth with an efficiency that emphasizes the growth of new equity that is expected to be duplicated in other regions. The concept of small-town development is that of developing the center of growth in a location that can spur economic improvement geographically and attract investment in the area concerned. The concept of integrated space is a development that aims to improve economic and equity simultaneously with the relevance of activities with land use expected to occur in the production system integration and community participation so that the development is both tops down and bottom up. The concept of agropolitan is that of harmonizing the agropolitan activities whose agricultural development is with industry optimally at the location of the development area. The concept of regional development selected for PALI District is that of integrated space development (functional integration) combined with the agropolitan concept. It is since the regional characteristics and potential are focused on agricultural sectors (plantation, fishery, and agriculture food crops). The concept of regional integration development of Penulak Abab Lematang Ilir District aims to develop an integrated city system which is supported by the development of adequate transportation and infrastructure system, and the sustainable agriculture activities are developed in the rear areas of the cities.

### B. Area Accessibility

Tamin Z. O. [5] points out that accessibility is a concept combining a system of geographical land use arrangement with the existing transportation network system. Jan Scheurer [6] states that indicators of regional accessibility comprise 7 categories of regional accessibility measurement as follows: 1.Spatial Measurement; 2. Contour Measurement; 3.Gravity Measurement; 4.Competition Measurement; 5.Time Span Measurement; 6.Utility Measurement; 7.Network Measurement.

In the measurement of accessibility of new autonomous regions, there will be network measurement in which calculating the degree of ease of reaching a destination location is based on an intersection between paths within the network. The formula of accessibility calculation developed by Jan Scheurer as follows

$$CI = \sum_j^n cij \tag{1}$$

Where: CI = accessibility index  
 Cij = number of link  
 n = number of node

Accessibility evaluation of land-use and transport system has been reported [7]–[11].

## II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Methodology describes the flow of research from the beginning to the end. Fig 1 shows the research flow diagram




Fig. 1 Research Flow Diagram

In measuring the accessibility, the procedure was as follows:

- Identifying link and meeting point in the existing network
- Defining connectivity matrix
- Calculating accessibility matrix

The following are the phases of the calculation process of accessibility matrix:

- Arrange the initial network matrix based on the road network map and name it  $C^1$  on the matrix. Specify Matrix  $T = C^2$  and initial value  $n = 1$ . Then check if each element on the matrix  $T$  has no zero value. If not, then  $m = 1$  and directly proceed to step 5
- Calculate  $m = n + 1$  dan  $C^m = C^1 \times C^2$
- Calculate  $T + C^m$
- Iteration is stopped when the matrix  $T$  has no elements of 0 value
- Calculation of accessibility value

The road network development of PALI District is carried out by:

- The existing accessibility condition for each area connected by the existing road network.
- Road network development scenario of improving regional accessibility for a plan of activity center and strategic areas.
- Selected road network development plan.

### III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Plan of Area Development

Based on the spatial planning of PALI District (2016) [12], there are planned development points in several categories, namely:

- Centre of Local Activity (CLA)
- Centre of Promotion Local Activity (CPLA)
- Centre of Regional Services (CRS)
- Centre of Environment Services (CES)

CLA is a central area of major growth center area with government orientation and trade of government and industry. CPLA is a central area of subdistrict-scale trades, services, and distribution. CRS is developed to be a center of production and industries of subdistrict-scale plantation and agriculture to support the city. CES is centers of agriculture production at local-scale villages which are planned to be an urban area. Fig. 2 shows planned development areas and plan of activity center development is shown in Table 1.

TABLE I  
PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT CENTRES OF PALI DISTRICT

No	Category	Location	Allotment
1	CLA	Pendopo	Central government
2	CPLA	Sungai Ibul	Trades and local transport meeting point
3	CRS	Talang Akar	Agriculture and entrance and exit area
4	CRS	Prabumenang	Mining and plantation
5	CRS	Air Itam	Agriculture
6	CRS	Perambatan	Trades and marketing
7	CRS	Betung Barat	Economic and social services
8	CRS	Kertadewa	Village-scale transport meeting point
9	CES	Sungai Baung	Residential and agricultural centers
10	CES	Simpang Tais	Mining and plantation
11	CES	Modong	Entrance and exit area
12	CES	Bumi Ayu	Tourism area




Fig. 2 Plan of Development Centre of PALI District




Fig. 3 Plan of Strategic Areas of PALI District

### B. Plan of Strategic Areas

Strategic areas are large-scale cultivation areas/certain areas and have national and regional roles. The plan of the strategic regions is grouped into 2, namely economic strategic areas and living environmentally supportive strategic areas. Strategic areas of PALI District are shown in Figure 3. The plan of strategic area development for economic growth of PALI District is shown in Table 2.

TABLE II  
PLAN OF STRATEGIC AREAS OF PALI DISTRICT

No	Types of Areas	Villages
1	Urban	Pendopo
2	Agropolitan	Talang Ubi Timur
3	Agropolitan	Talang Ubi Barat
4	Agropolitan	Talang Ubi Selatan
5	Agropolitan	Talang Akar
6	Mining Port	Prambatan
7	Industry	Karta Dewa
8	Economic Corridor	Abab - Talang Ubi
9	Economic Corridor	Tanah Abang – Penukal Utara

Tables 1 and 2 show that the areas needing the improvement of accessibility are, among others, as follows:

- Pendopo as a center of the urban area of industries and administration
- Sungai Ibul as a center of trade and transportation meeting point

- Entrance and exit access point of district area at villages of Talang Bulang, Kota Baru, and Sukaraja
- Bumi Ayu village which has tourism objects
- Talang Akar village which has high potential in agricultures and the entrance and exit access point of the western area
- Prambatan village which is planned to be a coal port
- Economy corridor 1 from Abab – Talang Ubi connecting Districts of PALI – Banyuasin – and Musi Rawas
- Economy corridor 2 from Tanah Abang – Penukal Utara which connects Districts of PALI – Muba – Muara Enim and Prabumulih
- Sungai Baung village as a railway crossing point of Muara Enim District and Muba District
- Tanah Abang Selatan which is allotted to aquaculture development

### C. Existing Condition

The existing network condition of PALI District is a description of the road network that accommodates the need for movement of people or goods within the area of PALI District, and then the level of accessibility on the existing network is analyzed. Fig. 4 shows the existing road network of PALI District. Table 3 shows the accessibility level of the existing network of 27 nodes and 26 connectors.




Fig. 4 Existing Condition of Road Network of PALI District

TABLE III  
ANALYSIS OF ACCESSIBILITY LEVEL OF PALI DISTRICT

No	Village/town	Rank	No	Village/town	Rank
1	Sungai Ibul	1	15	Handiyani Mulya	15
2	Gunung Menang	2	16	Talang Bulang	16
3	Prabumenang	3	17	Tanjung Kurung	17
4	Tanjung Baru	4	18	Karang Agung	18
5	Pendopo	5	19	Air Itam Timur	19
6	Babat	6	20	Pangabuan Timur	20
7	Talang Akar	7	21	Kota Baru	21
8	Air Itam	8	22	Tanding Marga	22
9	Simpang Tais	9	23	Pangabuan	23
10	Tempirai	10	24	Harapan Jaya	24
11	Karang Tanding	11	25	Sukaraja	25
12	Panta Dewa	12	26	Tanah Abang Selatan	26
13	Sinar Dewa	13	27	Tempirai Utara	27
14	Sungai Baung	14			

Table 3 shows that villages/towns needing accessibility improvement are Tanah Abang Selatan, Tempirai Utara, Harapan Jaya, and Sukaraja due to having accessibility ratings against lower areas. Tanah Abang Selatan village is an entrance and exit point of the District and a transit point to tourism objects of Bumi Ayu village and economy corridor of Subdistricts of Tanah Abang and Penukal Utara, while Tempirai Utara is an area of plantation and entrance and exit point of the District from the northwest. Harapan

Jaya village has agricultural cultivation whereas Sukareja village is located on the border between PALI and Prabumulih City accessible through Harapan Jaya village. Also, other areas needing accessibility improvement are those of Tempirai Selatan, Air Itam, and Betung, the three of which are not connected as the supports of fishery and plantation activities of these three villages.

#### D. Road Network Development

Based on the spatial of PALI District [12]. The plan of road network development is divided into 2 scenarios as follows:

- Primary collector road development
- Development system of the transport infrastructure network

#### E. Central Activity Development

The scenario of district class primary collector road development is a development plan aimed to support the economic movement activities of people and goods at the villages connected by planned roads. Scenario 1 is described as follows:

- Talang Akar Village–Tempirai Selatan Village; this road is a crossing line of Districts of Muara Enim – PALI – Musi Banyuasin
- Tempirai Selatan Village – Air Itam Village; both villages have plantation, fishery, and mining potential
- Air Itam Village –Betung Selatan Village; both villages have plantation, fishery, and mining potential
- Betung Selatan Village – Kerta Dewa Village; Kerta Dewa Village is a large industrial area and Betung Selatan Village is a plantation area
- Kerta Dewa Village – Talang Bulang Village; a connector of a large industrial area and an entrance and exit line of the District
- Tempirai Selatan Village –Kerta Dewa Village; a connector of plantation and industry areas

F. Land Transport Infrastructure Network System

- This second development scenario is developed to connect the activity centres and accommodate the need for movement of people or goods within the district, movements either from within to outside the district, or from outside into the district, accelerate the development of economic corridors and facilitate product transactions from each land use in Penukal Abab Lematang Ilir District. The second scenario is described as follows:
- A connection of Spantan Jaya Village and Spanta Dewa Village that will connect the production and provincial roads and traffic division road of the economy corridor
- The road construction connecting Gunung Menang and Betung Village which is disconnected and has no access
- A connection of villages of Tempirai Utara – Tempirai Lubuk Tampui – Muara Ikan and Tambak which will connect fishery products of PALI District
- The construction of the connecting road of areas of Talang Ubi Subdistrict and Tanah Abang is a between-subdistrict connecting road of villages of Sinar Dewa and Suka Manis
- The construction of connecting road of areas of Subdistricts of Tanah Abang and Penukal is a between-

- subdistrict connecting road of villages of Sukamanis and Purun
- The construction of connecting road of areas of Subdistricts of Penukal and Penukal Utara is a between-subdistrict connecting road of villages of Purun and Sukarami
- The construction of connecting road of areas of Subdistricts of Penukal Utara and Talang Ubi is a between-subdistrict connecting road of Sukarami Subdistrict and Sukamaju village
- The construction of the outer ring road in the territory of PALI District on villages of Modong, Sinar Dewa, Talang Bulang, Talang Ubi Timur, Sungai Baung, Talang Akar, Tanding Marga, Tempirai Utara, Tanjung Kurung, Karang Agung
- The construction of new roads to tourism access of Bumi Ayu village which has great tourism objects and potential

The two developed scenarios to improve regional accessibility and locations or strategic areas are shown in Figures 5 and 6 representing the development scenarios 1 and 2. The result of the analysis of the accessibility level of each scenario is shown in Table 4.




Fig. 5 Existing Condition and Development of Scenario 1




Fig. 6 Existing condition and development of scenario 2

TABLE IV  
DIFRENCES RESULT BETWEEN EXISTING AND EACH SCENARIO

NO	City/village	existing	SC 1	SC2	NO	City/village	existing	SC 1	SC2
1	Sungai Ibul	1	1	2	20	Pangabuan Timur	20	21	19
2	Gunung Menang	2	3	4	21	Kota Baru	21	23	20
3	Prabumenang	3	4	5	22	Tanding Marga	22	22	22
4	Tanjung Baru	4	5	3	23	Pangabuan	23	20	23
5	Pendopo	5	4	1	24	Harapan Jaya	24	24	25
6	Babat	6	12	10	25	Sukaraja	25	28	24
7	Talang Akar	7	7	7	26	Tanah Abang Selatan	26	26	29
8	Air Itam	8	8	6	27	Tempirai Utara	27	27	26
9	Simpang Tais	9	6	9	28	Bumi Ayu	-	30	27
10	Tempirai	10	9	8	29	Kerta Dewa	-	29	21
11	Karang Tanding	11	14	12	30	Spanta Jaya	-	34	31
12	Panta Dewa	12	10	11	31	Betung	-	28	32
13	Sinar Dewa	13	11	13	32	Lubuk Tampui	-	33	30
14	Sungai Baung	14	13	10	33	Muara Ikan	-	32	34
15	Handyanimulya	15	12	14	34	Tambak	-	31	36
16	Talang Bulang	16	17	16	35	Sukamanis	-	37	33
17	Tanjung Kurung	17	15	15	36	Purun	-	38	35
18	Karang Agung	18	16	18	37	Sukarami	-	36	38
19	Air Itam Timur	19	19	17	38	Sukamaju	-	35	37

The above Table 4 shows that the result of the accessibility improvement of scenario 2 area is better than that of scenario 1. Pendopo becomes level 1 as the capital city of the District; Air Itam village is number 6 as an area of plantation and mining, Tempirai village is number 8 with its plantation potential, Talang Bulang village remains number 16 as entrance access of the District, Bumi Ayu village having tourism potential is number 27, Kartadewa is number 21 as an industrial area, and Spanta Jaya village as an economic corridor crossing.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The existing condition shows that the development of the primary regions of activity centers needs accessibility improvement of villages such as Tanah Abang Selatan, Tempirai Utara, Harapan Jaya, Sukareja. The scenario of area development 2 using an area accessibility level is expected to be able to improve economic and regional development by performing: Connecting villages of Spantan Jaya and Panta Dewa; Construction of road connecting villages of Gunung Menang and Betung; Connecting villages of Tempirai Utara – Tempirai Lubuk Tampui – Muara Ikan and Tambak; Construction of road connecting Subdistricts of Talang Ubi and Tanah Abang; Construction of road connecting Subdistricts of Tanah Abang and Penukal; Construction of road connecting Subdistricts of Penukal and Penukal Utara; Construction of road connecting Subdistricts of Penukal Utara and Talang Ubi; Construction of ring road within the territory of PALI District; Construction of new road to tourism access of Bumi Ayu.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mahi, A. K., 2016. "Development Area Theory and Application", Kencana, Jakarta
- [2] Man, T., Rusu, R., Moldovan, C., Marcel, I., Moldovan, NS., Harangus, I. 2015. "Spatial Impact Of The Road Infrastructure Development In Romania. AN Accessibility Approach. Romanian Review of Regional Studies", XI
- [3] Tamin, O. Z. 2006. "Perencanaan dan Permodelan Transportasi", ITB, Bandung
- [4] Jan, S., Curtis, C. 2007. "Accessibility Measures Overview and Practical Application".
- [5] PALI district Government. "Spatial Planning of PALI District". 2016
- [6] Hasan, M. 2015 "Developed an area by Spatial Planning". ANDI. Yogyakarta
- [7] Marinos, P.D "Sustainable Development and Spatial Planning some consideration arising from the Greek Case. (Nodregio, Nordic Centre of Spatial Development and OTB Research Institute, Delft University of Technology, 2012) ISSN 1650-9544
- [8] Yatskiv, I., Budilovich, E., "Evaluating Riga Transport System Accessibility 16thConference on Reliability and Statistics in Transportation and Communication. Riga, Latvia. 2016.
- [9] Alit, P. S. "Accessibility to Public Transport Service (Case Study of Tabanan Region, Bali-Indonesia). MATEC Web of Conference 58, 02002, 2016.
- [10] Koike. A., Okumura, R., "Transportation Investment and Productivity Analysis of a Japanese Case Study. MATEC Web of Conference 103, 09016, 2017.
- [11] Zhang. K. G, BA. T. M, Meng. L. H, "Accessibility Research of Highway Network System in Henan Province" MATEC Web of Conference 63, 04003, 2016.
- [12] Geurs. T. K, Wee. V. B, "Accessibility Evaluation of Land-use and Transport Strategies: review and research direction." Journal of Transport Geography 12 Volume 127-140. 2004.