Blasting Design Without Subdrilling on Jointed Limestone to Optimize Fragmentation and Blasting Cost
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Abstract—Bench blasting operation on limestone opencast mining at PT. Semen Padang (Persero), Indonesia performed by electric blasting using column loading system. Limestone deposit consist of three type of rock characteristics that are hard limestone, sugary limestone, and jointed limestone. In general, a good blasting design should implementing subdrilling to avoid toe. In this case of study the subdrilling is not need due to the present of many cracks caused by basalt intrusion. Blasting geometry that applied to blast the jointed limestone resulting blasting fragmentation that commonly relatively small in size (<60 cm) but still has some boulders (>60 cm) that caused by column loading system where energy was concentrated in the bottom. Based on field observation of limestone blasting operation and productivity of excavator being used that is Excavator Hitachi EX 1100 with 5.4 m$^3$ bucket capacity, can be optimized. Especially from the view of drilling and blasting efficiency by modifying blasting geometry and explosive usage to optimize fragmentation to the productivity of excavator being used. Modification that performed is eliminate the sub drilling and change the explosives loading system from column loading to deck loading (include amount of explosives and detonator being used). Based on result of modification, achieve decreasing of boulder percentage as much as 50.25% that is from 9.71% to 4.83% and increasing of excavator productivity up to 36.10% from 1,479 ton/hour to 2,013 ton/hour as well as decreasing blasting cost up to 17.18% from Rp 3,456/ton to Rp 2,862/ton.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

Mining methods for jointed limestone at PT. Semen Padang (Persero), Indonesia is opencast mining with shovel-dump truck system. Limestone being mined consist of three type of characteristics those are hard limestone, sugary limestone, and jointed limestone. Strength of limestone is relatively high so that excavator can not dig it directly and have to conduct blasting operation.

Mechanism of rock breakage by blasting depend on many factors, one of them is the rock structure such as joints (cracks). The joints will act as reflecting plane, while the blasting wave that reach the plane will be reflected and its energy will decrease. So that blasting on large joint spacing rock will produce much big fragmentation (boulders). Otherwise, rock with close joint spacing will be an advantage in blasting to produce less boulders.

The influence of rock structures (joint space) to the mechanism of rupture of the rock where the ground vibrations caused by blasting with their joint activity can reduce the intensity of the pressure/compressive achieve free face. More and more areas of the joint, the smaller waves reaching compressive free face resulting in the fragmentation of boulders (Fig. 1).

In the blasting activities, explosives charging system column loading with limited high of explosives column and column height stemming that is too thick, resulting in energy-blasting that is concentrated at the bottom of the explosion hole. For rock jointed structure, the blasting fragmentation results the top of explosive hole will be boulders. Beside the joint spacing (the distance between joints), the orientation of the joint is also an important factor to be considered in blasting operation.

Joint orientation that parallel to the bench may be large in spacing but small burden should be implemented on blasting geometri design. So the drilling pattern should be rectangular pattern. Otherwise joint orientation that perpendicular to that of the bench, should implemented small spacing while burden can be larger, the drilling pattern is also rectangular.
Consequently when rocks have many crack with random orientation, the burden and spacing of blasting geometries can both be large. In this case, the drilling pattern can be square. This research focused on jointed limestone. Blasting resulted fragmentation by existing blasting geometry, still have boulders (>60 cm) that caused by column loading system due to unequal energy distribution that mostly concentrated in the bottom of the blast hole. Boulders percentage will highly affect excavator productivity. To minimize boulders and increase drilling and blasting efficiency, blasting design for jointed limestone have to be done.

Blasting design include modification blasting geometry to eliminate subdrilling. Existing blasting geometry use a 1.2 m subdrilling, while modified geometry is without subdrilling. The rule of thumb for Stemming is about 0.7 – 1.3 times of the Burden. The actual condition has 6.2 m stemming and Burden 4 m, so the ratio stemming to burden is 1.55. The ratio is exceed the rule of thumb, but fragmentation distribution still quite good with small number of boulder in the top.

Other modification is the loading system, modify the loading system from the column loading (bottom loading) to be deck loading (double deck). The modification of loading system include change in explosive and detonator usage

Generally, subdrilling should be implemented on bench blasting of rocks. The subdrilling is aim to avoid toe. Especially for jointed limestone in this case of study, due to physical and mechanic characteristics that have many cracks caused by geologic condition (basalt intrusion), so the subdrilling is not needed. Blasting without subdrilling will give advantages that are minimize blast hole depth, longer lifetime of drill bit and minimize explosive usage.

B. Geology Condition

PT. Semen Padang in West Sumatra lies in the part of the administrative area of the Municipality of Padang, District Lubuk Kilangan, in the Village Indarung. When measured from north east of Padang, West Sumatra, it has a length of ± 15 Km, geographically positioned at coordinates 100° 27'20"– 100°32’12” East Longitude and 0°57'47” –01°00'48” South Latitude. Indarung area located below the slopes of the Bukit Barisan Mountains, in this area there are several rivers, namely Sungai Batang Arau, Sungai Batang Kuranji, Sungai Batang and Sungai Batang Kasumba Idas. Location Limestone quarrying Karang Putih Hill is in District Lubuk Kilangan, Village Batu Gadang (Fig.2).

Regionally area of study is located on the western slopes of the Bukit Barisan Mountains. The oldest known rock outcrops are Jura Tertiary age rocks. The rock group meta types of rocks, siltstones mixed with phyllite and tuff claystone with crystalline marble. Above the Pre-Tertiary rocks, Tertiary Quaternary Volcanic mineral aggregate is deposited unconformably.

Geological conditions of this area is a steep hill with a natural slope angle more than 45°. Limestone or marble and intrusion of igneous rocks (basalt, andesite and granitic) can easily found at Karang Putih Hill. Limestone located below the tuffaceous mudstone. Thickness of the layer is 100-350 m. In the south of the Karang Putih Hill there are basalt rocks. It can be estimated that in this area occurred basalt extrusion, the extrusion has resulted in the presence of efflorescence limestone into calcite with large size crystals. The oldest rocks that can be found in the area of Karang Putih Hill is a faint rustle of rock consisting of tuffaceous clay mixed with chert. The walls of the hill show indications of dissolution of rock through joints that indicated by the presence of caves.

Lithology of the young to the oldest found in KarangPutih Hill are as follows:

- Metasediments Limestone. blackish-gray - light gray colour, crystalline, massive, very fine-coarse grained (± 1cm). These rocks are interfingered to the faint rustle of clay stone, found many calcite veins and cavities, and crystalline. Distribution of this rock is dominant at the KarangPutih Hill. The rocks has experienced strong folding due to endogenous force in the general direction of Northwest to the Southeast. Sometimes encountered inserts tuff and silica, reddish white, smooth, massive, fresh until medium weathered.

- Tuffaceous mudstone (silica rock). Tuffaceous mudstone in such patterned red to brown-red, fine grain size, some have been recrystallized and hard. In general is also known as silica rock. The distribution of these rocks are in the East-Southeast area of study, on the top of KarangPutih Hill, then along the southern valley, on the
cliffs and avalanches. Structurally, this tuffaceous mudstone has experienced strong folding. This can happen due to endogenous pressing but not over the elasticity limit.

- Intrusion Rocks. Intrusion rocks encountered in the area of study in the form of basal igneous rock. These rocks are gray-black, texture afanitic-faneric, very fine to medium grained, consisting of mineral feldspar, olivine, pyroxene (mafic minerals). This rock in general area fresh, very hard and compact. Distribution of the rocks are in the middle of the area of study.

- Alluvial Deposition. The youngest outcrops encountered in area of study is alluvial deposits consisting of various kinds of rocks, generally found along the river of BatangIdas. These rocks are partially exposed as residual soil in northern area of Karang Putih Hill. The rocks is deposited unconformably over the tertiary rocks. Stratigraphy of Karang Putih Hill can be seen on Fig.3.

Geotechnical data of limestone is average strength 162.19 kg/cm² and elasticity modulus is 123,192.7 kg/cm² (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D</th>
<th>UCS</th>
<th>Mod. Elasticity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kg/cm²</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>kg/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.682</td>
<td>230.07</td>
<td>22.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.673</td>
<td>109.12</td>
<td>10.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.680</td>
<td>201.37</td>
<td>19.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.679</td>
<td>108.23</td>
<td>10.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.678</td>
<td>162.19</td>
<td>15.912</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Blasting Operation

Limestone mining method in open cast Karang Putih Hill implement benching system with excavator and dump truck as transport system. Generally mining activities include land clearing, stripping of overburden, blasting operation, hauling, crushing and sending the limestone to the cement plant.

Steps of blasting are drilling the blast hole, loading the explosives, cover the explosives hole using cuttings (stemming), connecting blasting circuit, blasting initiation.

Bench blasting system that is applied to the jointed limestone open cast mining with electric blasting system. Rotary drilling machine used Tamrock Drill crawlerbase CA 1100 with a 5.5 inch diameter bit. The drilling pattern used is rectangular zigzag. Explosives used is ANFO as a blasting agent, and Damotin 80% as a booster. Blasting geometri, explosive loading system and the amount of explosives used (Table II).

Back Hoe Excavator used Hitachi EX 1100 with a bucket capacity of 5.4 m³ and Dump Truck CAT 773B with a capacity of 34.4 m³. Based on field observations of the distribution of most of the blasting fragmentation results are relatively small (<60 cm) on the bottom and there are boulders (>60 cm) at the top. This is due to column loading system in which the blasting energy is concentrated at the bottom due to the stemming that is too high, exceed the rule of thumb of stemming that is 0.7 – 1.3 times of the Burden[4]. However, the fragmentation of the results of these explosives is still in accordance with the capacity of the excavator is used. To optimize the drilling and blasting efficiency are still opportunities to reduce the percentage of boulders in order to increase the productivity of the excavator.
TABLE II
BLASTING GEOMETRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>Modification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loading System</td>
<td>Column Loading</td>
<td>Deck Loading*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometry BxSx(L-SD)</td>
<td>4 x 5 x (16.2-1.2)</td>
<td>4 x 5 (15-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>300 m³</td>
<td>300 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANFO (Kg)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booster (Kg)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Detonator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder Factor</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Burden (B), Spacing (S), Hole Depth (L), Subdrill (SD)

*Blast loading geometry for jointed limestone to be modified without using subdrilling and change the loading system into the deck loading. These modifications affect the use of the amount of explosives and detonators (Table II).

With the modification expected distribution of fragmentation is more homogenous due to blasting energy more evenly, so that the size of the boulders is reduced and excavators productivity increased and explosives cost reduced.

Illustration of blasting geometry and explosives loading system of existing and modification (Fig.5, Table II).

II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

The research method in this research is the collection of primary data and secondary data and then do the processing and analysis of data so it can be deduced. Primary data includes existing blasting geometry, explosives loading system, fragmentation photo and cycle time excavator.

Data of blasting geometry such as Burden, Spacing, Hole Depth, Stemming collect by direct measurement. Burden and spacing data are gain by measuring tape, while for hole depth and stemming, the measuring tape is equipped by plumb bob. Explosive usage are observed from the data record at explosive warehouse and explosives mixing facilities. Explosive loading system is observed directly at the blasting area while load the explosive to the blast holes.

Observation of fragmentation is done by took the photograph of the fragmentation. The photo is took for upper part, middle part and lower part of the blasting area. A simple square ruler is included in every photo as a standard size to predict the size of fragmentation on the photograph. The dimension of square ruler is 1 m x 1 m which devided into 5 division respectively.

Secondary data such as geological of research areas, equipment specifications and prices of materials and blasting equipmenare provided by PT. Semen Padang.

Primary data was first taken from the existing blasting geometry in form of data fields, fragmentation result of the blasting photos [11] as well as cycle time excavator data. The data is processed to obtain the distribution of fragmentation, the cost of blasting and excavator productivity. Split Desktop 2.0 Demo Software used for fragmentation distribution data processing. Statistical methods used for cycle time data processing [7] in order to see the trend of the relationship between the fragmentation and the cycle time excavator [6].

Modification of blasting design [8] include modify blasting geometry to eliminate subdrilling and modify explosives loading system from column loading to deck loading. After the modification of the geometry and the same type data retrieval. The results of data processing of the modified geometry compared to the results of the existing geometry [3][4][5][10][12] to obtain a conclusion and recommendation.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Fragmentation of Blasting Result

Design of blasting geometry (without subdrilling) for jointed limestone is (burden x spacing x (depth of hole – subdrilling)] = [4m x 5m x (15m – 0m)]. Fragmentation of blasting result using existing geometry can be seen at Fig 6, while Fig 7 shown the fragmentation of modified geometry. Both figures obviously shown that the modification geometry has smaller size than the actual geometry.

Calculation of boulder size fragmentation based on the blasting fragmentation photograph by using Split Desktop software Version 2.0 form Split Engineering show the percentage of boulders are smaller in modification geometry. Boulder percentage is decrease from 9.71 % at existing geometry (Fig. 8) to be 4.83% at modified geometry (Fig. 9) or reduce 50.25%.

Fig. 5.Blasting Geometry
The decrease fragmentation distribution of blasting results due to a more equitable distribution of energy blasting using deck loading system and rectangular zigzag drilling pattern and rock structure conditions of jointed limestone. This blasting fragmentation is suitable to the excavator bucket capacity of 5.4 m$^3$. Blasting geometry design can be developed [burden x spacing x (depth of hole - subdrilling)] [5m x 5m x (15m - 0m)] with a square-zigzag drilling pattern to achieve fragmentation that suitable to the excavator bucket capacity of 5.4 m$^3$ or bigger. In the implementation deck loading system required accuracy. By implementing deck loading system and zig zag drilling pattern the distribution of blasting energy can be more evenly vertically and horizontally.

**B. Excavator Productivity**

Blasted rock size should be suitable to the loader type and transport equipment that handling the rock. Blasted rock at Karang Putih Hill Quarry is loaded by excavator Hitachi EX 1100 that has 5.4 m$^3$ bucket capacity. The excavator load the rock to the dump truck Catterpillar CAT 773 B that has vessel capacity up to 34.4 m$^3$. Dump truck haul the blasted rock from the mining front to the crushing plant where the blasted rock being crushed to smaller size. The hauling distance is about 5 km.

Histogram (Fig.10 and Tabel III) shows distribution of cycle time excavator for existing geometry (that applied column loading for explosive loading system) has a trend that relatively short in time and some of it may longer up to 0.51 minutes. The average excavator cycle time for existing
geometry is about 0.36 minutes [7]. Using the average cycle
time, excavator productivity is calculated and result
productivity up to 1,479 ton/hour. Excavator performance is
correlate to the fragmentation distribution [9] due to the
boulder 9.71%. Whereas distribution cycle time of excavator
for modified geometry tends dominantly shorter and the
longest cycle time is up to 0.46 minutes with average
0.24 minutes (Fig. 11 and Table IV) with productivity of
excavator is up to 2,013.16 ton/hour (Table V) because of
homogen fragmentation, boulder percentage decrease 4.83 %
and excavator production increase 36.10%.

The above histograms are created based on observation
of cycle time of excavator and then the data distribute into
classes to obtain the average cycle time and its trend. Histogram on Fig.7 and Fig. 8 show the strong relationship
between distribution of fragmentation to the cycle time of
excavator. Research result show that digging time for
modified geometry is faster than that of the existing
geometry due to a better fragmentation distribution.

C. Blasting Cost

Blasting geometry modification (without subdrill) and the
deck loading system, decreasing ANFO usage by 25 kg and
the addition of 1 piece of detonator, while the number of
booster 0.9 kgremains same only divided into 2 parts,
450 greah. Jointed limestone blasting costs decreased by
17.18% from Rp 3,456/ton to Rp 2,862 /ton (Table V).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>Modification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulder (≥60 cm)</td>
<td>9.71 %</td>
<td>4.83 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle Time (minute)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity (ton/hour)</td>
<td>1,479</td>
<td>2,013.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (Rp/ton)</td>
<td>3,456</td>
<td>2,862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. CONCLUSIONS

The advantages of this modification of blasting geometry without subdrilling are: reducing explosive usage, faster cycle time to drill a blast hole, increase drilling efficiency, longer drill bit lifetime and reduce drilling cost.

Blasting design for jointed limestone do not need subdrilling with geometry \([\text{burden} \times \text{spacing} \times (\text{hole depth} – \text{subdrilling})] = [4\text{m} \times 5\text{m} \times (15\text{m} – 0\text{m})]\) with rectangular zigzag drilling pattern and deck loading system. Blasting fragmentation is suitable for bucket capacity maximum 5.4 m\(^3\). Deck loading system is more suitable for jointed limestone compared to coloumn loading system, because the blasting energy on the deck loading is distributed more evenly so that boulders percentage relatively decrease to 50% and excavator productivity increase up to 36.10% and blasting cost decrease 17.18%.

Blasting design jointed limestone for excavator with bucket capacity more than 5.4 m\(^3\), for example for excavator Hitachi EX 2000 with bucket capacity 10.5 m\(^3\) can be applied blasting geometry \([\text{burden} \times \text{spacing} \times (\text{hole depth} – \text{subdrilling})] = [5\text{m} \times 5\text{m} \times (15\text{m} – 0\text{m})]\) with deck loading system and square-zigzag drilling pattern.

Basically in blasting geometry design, the size of resulted fragmentation should be suitable to the bucket capacity of excavator.
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