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Abstract- In this work, we obtain the order of convexity of the integral operator which is a generalization to Ces'aro integral operator. Furthermore, some other properties of the integral operator by using the concept of the norm and pre-Schwarzian derivatives are obtained.
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## I. Introduction

The Ces'aro operator C acts formally on the power series $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}(f) z^{k} \quad$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C[f](z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{1-t} \mathrm{dt} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the classical Ces'aro means play an important role in geometric function theory (see [2], [3],[4],[5]).
Let $H$ denote the class of all analytic functions in the open unit disk $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\} \quad$ of complex plane.
Let $A$ denote the class of functions
$\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}$ normalized by $\mathrm{f}(0)=0, \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(0)=1$.
Also, let $S$ denote the class of all univalent functions in $A$.
A function $f$ belonging to $A$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha$ in $U$ if it satisfies
$f \in S^{*}(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U})$,
for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$
Further, a function $f$ belonging to $A$ is said to be convex in $U$ if it satisfies
$f \in K(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1\right\}>\alpha, \quad(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U})$,
for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$.

A function $f$ belonging to $A$ is said to be the class $R(\alpha)$ iff

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>\alpha, \quad(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U})
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$.
Very recently, Frasin and Jahangiri [6] defined the family $\mathrm{B}(\mu, \alpha)$, for some $(\mu \geq 0,0 \leq \alpha<1)$, so that it consists of functions $f \in A$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-1\right|<1-\alpha, \quad(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The family $\mathrm{B}(\mu, \alpha)$ is a comprehensive class of analytic functions which includes various new classes of analytic univalent functions as well as some very well known ones. For example,
$\mathrm{B}(1, \alpha) \equiv \mathrm{S}^{*}(\alpha)$, and $\mathrm{B}(0, \alpha) \equiv \mathrm{R}(\alpha)$.
Another interesting subclass is the special case $\mathrm{B}(2, \alpha) \equiv \mathrm{B}(\alpha)$, which has been introduced by Frasin and Darus [7].
Let $f: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ be analytic and locally univalent. The pre-Schwarzian derivative
(or nonlinearity) $T_{f}$ to $f$ is defined by

$$
T_{f}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}} .
$$

Also, with respect to the Hornich operation, the quantity

$$
\left\|T_{f}\right\|=\sup _{z \in U}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|T_{f}\right|,
$$

can be regarded as a norm on the space of uniformly locally univalent analytic functions $f \in U$.
It is known that $\mathrm{T}_{f}<\infty$ if and only if $f$ is uniformly locally univalent.
It is well-known that from Becker's univalence criterion [8]: every analytic function $f$ in $U$ with $\left\|\mathrm{T}_{f}\right\| \leq 1 \quad$ is in fact univalent in $U$. Conversely, $\left\|\mathrm{T}_{f}\right\| \leq 6$ holds if $f$ univalent.
Consider the general integral operator defined by the formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)= \\
& \frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f_{1}(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}} \ldots\left(\frac{f_{m}(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m}}} \cdot \mathrm{dt},(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}-\{0\}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{i} \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}, \forall \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$, and the functions $\mathrm{f}_{i}(\mathrm{z})$ are in $\mathrm{B}(\mu, \alpha)$. It is clear that when $\beta_{1}=1$ and $\beta_{j}=0, \mathrm{j}=2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$ the integral operator (1.3) reduces to Ces'aro integral operator (1.1).

In this paper we will study some general properties for function
$z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)=$
$\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f_{1}(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}} \cdots\left(\frac{f_{m}(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m}}} \cdot \mathrm{dt},(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}-\{0\})$.
For the purpose this work, we shall make use of the following lemmas.
Lemma 1.1 [1]
Let the analytic function $f$ be regular in the disk with $\mathrm{f}(0)=0$. If $|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})| \leq 1$, for all $(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U})$ then
$|f(z)| \leq|z|, \quad(z \in U)$.
The equality can hold only if $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})=\varepsilon \mathrm{z}$,
where $\quad|\varepsilon|=1$.
Lemma 1.2 Let the analytic and locally univalent $f$ in $U$. Then
(i) If $\left\|\mathrm{T}_{f}\right\| \leq 1$, then $f$ is univalent, and
(ii) If $\left\|\mathrm{T}_{f}\right\| \leq 2$, then $f$ is bounded.

The part (i) is due to Becker [8] and sharpness of the constant 1 is due to Becker and Pommerenke [9]. The part (ii) is obvious (see [10], Corollary 2.4). Note also that, recently, Kari and Per Hag [12] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in S$ to have a John disk as the image in terms of the preSchwarzian derivative of $f$.
Also, the norm estimates for typical subclasses of univalent functions are investigated by many authors . See for example ([10], and so on).
Lemma 1.3 [11]
Let $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{S}$.
(i) If $f$ is starlike of order $\alpha$, then $\left\|\mathrm{T}_{f}\right\| \leq 6-4 \alpha$, and
(ii) If $f$ is convex of order $\alpha$, then
$\left\|T_{f}\right\| \leq 4(1-\alpha)$.
The constants are sharp.

## II. Main results

## Theorem 2.1

Let $\mathrm{f}_{i} \in \mathrm{~A}$, be in the class $\mathrm{B}(\mu, \alpha), \mu \geq 0,0 \leq \alpha<$ 1 , for all $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$. If $\left|\mathrm{f}_{i}(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq \mathrm{M}, 0 \leq|\mathrm{z}|<\frac{1}{2},(\mathrm{M} \geq 1, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U})$,
then
$z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)=$
$\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f_{1}(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{1}}} \ldots\left(\frac{f_{m}(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m}}} \cdot \mathrm{dt}$,
is convex of order $\delta$,
where
$\delta=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\beta \mathrm{i}|}\left((2-\alpha) \mathrm{M}^{\mu-1}+1\right)$,
and
$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\beta \mathrm{i}|}\left((2-\alpha) \mathrm{M}^{\mu-1}+1\right)<1, \quad \beta_{i} \in C-\{0\}$,
For all $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$.
Proof:
From the definition of the operator (1.3), we have
$z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{f_{i}(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{i}}} \mathrm{dt}$,

For $f_{i} \in B(\mu, \alpha)$. It is easy to see that
$\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}$
$=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{f_{i}(t)}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{i}}}$.
Differentiating both sides of (2.1) logarithmically, we obtain
$\frac{\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}}=$
$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\beta_{i}}\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}+\frac{1}{1-z}\right)$,
which readily shows that
$\left|\frac{z\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right|$
$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|}\left(\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{z}{1-z}\right|\right)$,
$=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|}\left(\left|f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f_{i}(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right|\left|\left(\frac{f_{i}(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}\right|+\left\lvert\, \frac{z}{1-z}\right.\right) \cdot .(2.2)$

Since $\left|\mathrm{f}_{i}(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq \mathrm{M},(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{i} \in\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}\})$, applying the Schwarz lemma, we obtain $\left|\frac{\mathrm{f}_{i}(\mathrm{z})}{z}\right| \leq M,(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{i} \in\{1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}\})$.
Therefore, from (2.2), we obtain
$\left|\frac{z\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right| \leq$
$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|}\left(\left|f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f_{i}(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right| M^{\mu-1}+1\right)$.
From (2.3) and (1.2), we see that
$\left|\frac{z\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right|$
$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|}\left(\left(\left|f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f_{i}(z)}\right)^{\mu}-1\right|+1\right) M^{\mu-1}+1\right)$
$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|}\left((2-\alpha) M^{\mu-1}+1\right) \leq 1-\delta$.

This completes the proof.
Theorem 2.2
Let $f_{i} \in A$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Suppose that $z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)$ is locally univalent in U.
(1) If $\left[\left|T_{f_{i}}\right| \mid+2\right] \leq\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|$.

Then
$z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)$, is univalent in U .
(2) If $\left[\left\|T_{f_{i}}\right\|+2\right] \leq 2\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|$.

Then
$z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)$, is univalent in U .
Proof:
Since $\left\|T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)}\right\|=$
$\sup _{z \in U}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \mid T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z) \mid \text {. We obtain }}$
$\left\|T_{\left.z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]\right]_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right\|$
$=\sup _{z \in U}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{z\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right|$.
$\left.\sup _{z \in U}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|} \right\rvert\,\left(\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{z}{1-z}\right|\right)$
$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|}\left[\left\|T_{f_{i}}\right\|+2\right]$.
From (2.4), and applying Lemma 1.2 we get
$\left\|T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)}\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|}\left[\left\|T_{f_{i}}\right\|+2\right] \leq 1$.
Then $T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots . f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)}$ is univalent in U .
Also, from (2.5), and applying Lemma 1.2, we get
$\left.\| T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots \beta_{m}}(z) \| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|}\left[\left\|T_{f_{i}}\right\|+2\right] \leq 2$.
Then $T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right] \beta_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)}$ is bounded in U.
Theorem 2.3
Let $f_{i} \in S$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.
(1) If $f_{i}$ are starlike of order $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}$, then
$\left\|T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right] \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots \beta_{m}}(z)\right\| \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)$.
(2) If $f_{i}$ are convex of order $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}$, then

$$
\left\|T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right] \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)\right\| \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|}\left(3-2 \alpha_{i}\right) .
$$

Proof: The results follow from (2.6) and by using Lemma 1.3.

Corollary 2.1
Let $f_{i} \in S$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.
(1) If $f_{i}$ are starlike of order $\alpha$, then

$$
\left\|T_{\left.z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)}\right\| \leq 4(1-\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|}
$$

(2) If $f_{i}$ are convex of order $\alpha$, then

$$
\left\|T_{z C\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]_{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}}(z)}\right\| \leq 2(3-2 \alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right|} .
$$

Corollary 2. Let $f_{1} \in S$.
(1) If $f_{1}$ are starlike of order $\alpha$, then

$$
\left\|T_{\left.z C\left[f_{1}\right]\right]_{1}(z)}\right\| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{\left|\beta_{1}\right|}
$$

(2) If $f_{1}$ are convex of order $\alpha$, then

$$
\left\|T_{z C\left[f_{1}\right]_{\beta_{1}}(z)}\right\| \leq \frac{2(3-2 \alpha)}{\left|\beta_{1}\right|}
$$

## III. CONCLUSIONS

We conclude this study with some suggestions for future research; one direction is to obtain the order of convexity of the integral operator. Another direction would be studying other properties of the integral operator.
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