# Certain Subclasses of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions Involving Double Zeta Functions 

Saibah Siregar, Sintuja Raman<br>Faculty of Science and Biotechnology, University Selangor, Bestari Jaya, Selangor Darul Ehsan, 45600, Malaysia<br>E-mail: saibahmath@yahoo.com,thuja_88@yahoo.com

Abstract- In the present paper, we introduce two new subclasses of the functions class $\Sigma$ of bi-univalent functions involving double zeta functions in the open unit disc $U=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<1\}$. The estimates on the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in these new subclasses of the function class $\Sigma$ are obtained in our investigation.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Let $A$ be the class of the function of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Further, by $S$ we shall denote the class of all functions in $A$ which are univalent in $U$. By using the Hadamard product or the convolution product of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function given by [4], a function is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{n}(y, x, a)=\frac{\Phi(y, x, a+v n)}{\Phi(y, x, a)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\Psi_{0}(y, x, a)=1$. Now consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{\mu}(z, y, x, a)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{n}}{n!} \Psi_{n}(y, x, a) z^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \Upsilon_{\mu}(z, y, x, a)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \Psi_{n-1}(y, x, a) z^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon_{\mu}(z, y, x, a) *\left(z \Upsilon_{\mu}(z, y, x, a)\right)^{-1} & =\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda}}, \quad(\lambda>-1) \\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} z^{n} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

poses a linear operator
$I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) f(z)=\left(z \Upsilon_{\mu}(z, y, x, a)\right)^{-1} * f(z),(f \in A)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=z+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_{n-1}}{(\mu)_{n-1} \Psi_{n-1}(y, x, a)} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|y|<1,|z|<1 ; \mu \in \mathbb{C}\{\ldots-2,-1,0\}, v \in \mathbb{C}\{0\}$;

$$
a \in \mathbb{C}\{-(m+v n)\},\{n m\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \text { and } \Psi \text { is defined in (2). }
$$

It is clear that $I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) f(z) \in A$. It is based on result by Ibrahim and Darus.

It is well known that every function $f \in S$ has inverse $f^{-1}$, defined by $\quad f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in U)$
and $\quad f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{o}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\ldots . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $(f \in A)$ is said to be bi-univalent in $U$ if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in $U$. Let $\sum$ denote the class of bi-univalent in $U$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1). Examples of functions in the class $\Sigma$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{(1-z)},-\log (1-z), \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on. However, the familiar Koebe function is not a member of $\sum$. Other common examples of functions in $S$ such as $\quad z-\frac{z^{2}}{2}$ and $\frac{z}{1-z^{2}}$ are also not members of $\Sigma$.

Lewin [4] investigated the bi-univalent function class $\sum$ and showed that $\left|a_{2}\right|<1.51$. Subsequently, Brannan and

Clunie [5] conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Netanyahu [6], on the other hand, showed that $\max _{f \in \Sigma}\left|a_{2}\right|=\frac{4}{3}$.

The coefficient estimate problem for each of the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients:
$\left|a_{n}\right| \quad(n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1,2\} ; \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$.$) is presumably still an$ open problem.

Brannan and Taha [7] (see also [8]) introduced certain subclasses of the bi-univalent function class $\sum$ similar to the familiar subclasses $S_{*}(\kappa)$ and $K(\kappa)$ are starlike and convex function of order $\kappa,(0 \leq \kappa<1)$, respectively (see[9]). Thus, following Brannan and Taha [7] (see also [8]), a function $f \in A$ is in the class $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ of strongly bi-starlike functions of order $\alpha$ if each of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \sum \text { and }\left|\arg \left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(z \in U ; 0<\alpha \leq 1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad(w \in U ; 0<\alpha \leq 1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the extension of $f^{-1}$ to $U$. The classes $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\kappa)$ and $K_{\Sigma}(\kappa)$ of bi-starlike functions of order and bi-convex functions of order $K$, corresponding (respectively) to the function classes $S_{*}(\kappa)$ and $K(\kappa)$ were also introduced analogously. For each of the function classes $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\kappa)$ and $K_{\Sigma}(\kappa)$, they found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ (for details, see [7,8]).

The object of the present paper is to introduce two new subclasses of the functions class $\sum$ involving double zeta functions operator and find estimates of the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in these new subclasses of the function class $\sum$. The techniques of proofing used by Srivastava et. al [4].

## II. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR THE FUNCTION CLASS $\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}$

Definition 1. A function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ if the following conditions are satisfied: $f \in \sum$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(z \in U ; 0<\alpha \leq 1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) g(w)\right)^{\prime}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $w \in U ; 0<\alpha \leq 1$ ) where the function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\ldots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first state and prove the following result.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{\Sigma}}{ }^{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha^{2}}{2 p_{2}^{2}(1-\alpha)+3 p_{3} \alpha}} \text { and }\left|a_{3}\right|=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{p_{2}^{2} p_{3}}+\frac{2 \alpha}{3 p_{3}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We can write the argument inequalities in (11) and (12) equivalently as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) f(z)\right)^{\prime}=[Q(z)]^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \\
& \left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) g(w)\right)^{\prime}=[L(w)]^{\alpha} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where $Q(z)$ and $L(w)$ satisfy the following inequalities: $\mathfrak{R}(Q(z))>0,(z \in U)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(Q(z))>0,(w \in U)$. Furthermore, the functions $Q(z)$ and $L(w)$ have the forms

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \\
L(w)=1+c_{1} w+c_{2} w^{2}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(\lambda)_{n-1}}{(\mu)_{n-1} \Psi_{n-1}(y, x, a)}=p_{n}  \tag{16}\\
& \frac{(\lambda)_{1}}{(\mu)_{1} \Psi_{1}(y, x, a)}=p_{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\lambda)_{2}}{(\mu)_{2} \Psi_{2}(y, x, a)}=p_{3} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p_{n} a_{k} z^{k}$.
Now equating the coefficients of $\left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) f(z)\right)^{\prime}$ with $[Q(z)]^{\alpha}$ and the coefficients of $\left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) f(z)\right)^{\prime}$ with $[L(w)]^{\alpha}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2 p_{2} a_{2} & =\alpha c_{1}  \tag{19}\\
3 p_{3} a_{3} & =\alpha c_{2}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} c_{1}^{2}  \tag{20}\\
-2 p_{2} a_{2} & =\alpha l_{1} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 p_{3}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=\alpha l_{2}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} l_{1}^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19) and (21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=-l_{1} \quad \text { and } 8 p_{2}^{2} a_{2}^{2}=\alpha^{2}+\left(c_{1}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (20) and (22), we find that
$6 p_{3} a_{2}^{2}-\left(\alpha c_{2}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} c_{1}^{2}\right)=\alpha l_{2}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} l_{1}^{2}$.
A rearrangement together with the second identity in (23) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 p_{3} a_{2}^{2} & =\alpha\left(c_{2}+l_{2}\right)+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& =\alpha\left(c_{2}+l_{2}\right)+\alpha(\alpha-1) \frac{4 p_{2}^{2} a_{2}^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4 p_{2}^{2}(1-\alpha)+6 p_{3} \alpha}\left(c_{2}+l_{2}\right)
$$

which, in conjunction with the following well-known inequalities (see [1, p. 41]): $\left|c_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|l_{2}\right| \leq 2$, gives us the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as asserted in (14).

Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{3}\right|$, by subtracting (22) from (20), we get
$6 p_{3} a_{3}-6 p_{3} a_{2}^{2}=\alpha c_{2}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} c_{1}^{2}-\left(\alpha l_{2}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} l_{1}^{2}\right)$.
Upon substituting the value of $a_{2}^{2}$ from (23) and observing that $c_{1}^{2}=l_{1}^{2}$ it follows that

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\alpha^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{4 p_{2}^{2} p_{3}}+\frac{\alpha}{6 p_{3}}\left(c_{2}-l_{2}\right)
$$

The familiar inequalities (see [1, p. 41]): $\left|c_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|l_{2}\right| \leq 2$, now yield

$$
\left|a_{3}\right|=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{p_{2}^{2} p_{3}}+\frac{2 \alpha}{3 p_{3}}
$$

This completes the proof of theorem 1.

## III. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR THE CLASS $\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}(\beta)$

The Definition 1. A function $f(z)$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}(\beta) \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \sum \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{R}\left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) f(z)\right)^{\prime}>\beta \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(z \in U ; 0 \leq \beta<1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) g(w)\right)^{\prime}>\beta,(w \in U ; 0 \leq \beta<1) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $f(z)$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathscr{H}_{\Sigma}(\beta) \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{3 p_{3}} \text { and }\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{6 p_{3}}{p_{2}^{2}}(1-\beta)^{2}+4(1-\beta) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ in (17) and (18), respectively.
Proof. First of all, the argument inequalities in (24) and (25) can easily be rewritten in their equivalent forms:
$\left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\beta+(1-\beta) Q(z)$
and
$\left(I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(z, y, x, a) g(w)\right)^{\prime}=\beta+(1-\beta) L(w)$
respectively, where $Q(z)$ and $L(w)$ satisfy the following inequalities: $\mathfrak{R}(Q(z))>0,(z \in U)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(Q(z))>0,(w \in U)$.
Moreover, the functions $Q(z)$ and $L(w)$ have the forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(w)=1+c_{1} w+c_{2} w^{2}+\ldots \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, by suitably comparing coefficients, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2 p_{2} a_{2} & =(1-\beta) c_{1}  \tag{29}\\
3 p_{3} a_{3} & =(1-\beta) c_{2}  \tag{30}\\
-2 p_{2} a_{2} & =(1-\beta) l_{1} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 p_{3}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=(1-\beta) l_{2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, considering (29) and (31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=-l_{1} \text { and } 8 p_{2}^{2} a_{2}^{2}=(1-\beta)^{2}\left(c_{1}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (30) and (32), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 p_{3} a_{2}^{2}=3 p_{3} a_{3}+(1-\beta) l_{2}=(1-\beta)\left(c_{2}+l_{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{6 p_{3}}\left(\left|c_{2}\right|+\left|l_{2}\right|\right)=\frac{2(1-\beta)}{3 p_{3}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the bound on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as asserted in (26).
Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{3}\right|$ by subtracting (33) and (30), we get

$$
6 p_{3} a_{3}-6 p_{3} a_{2}^{2}=(1-\beta)\left(c_{2}-l_{2}\right)
$$

which, upon substitution of the value of $a_{2}^{2}$ from (34), yields $6 p_{3} a_{3}=\frac{6 p_{3}}{8 p_{2}^{2}}(1-\beta)^{2}\left(c_{1}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}\right)+(1-\beta)\left(c_{2}+l_{2}\right)$.
This last equation, together with the well-known estimates:
$\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 2,\left|l_{1}\right| \leq 2,\left|c_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|l_{2}\right| \leq 2$.
Lead us to the following inequality:
$6 p_{3}\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{3 p_{3}}{4 p_{2}^{2}}(1-\beta)^{2} \cdot 8+(1-\beta) .4$.
Therefore, we have $\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{6 p_{3}}{p_{2}^{2}}(1-\beta)^{2}+4(1-\beta)$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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