





















#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thanks the parties involved and contribute to this study include the IPC, the Port Authority, the Ministry of Transportation, the R&D Ministry of Transportation, ALFI, ASPRINDO, Forwarder, Customs, Cikarang Dry Port, as well as the Ministry of Trade.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Parikesit, D., Kushari, K. & Novitarini, R., (2003). The Characteristics of Rural Water Transport Case Studies of Three Provinces in Indonesia. s.l., Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, Vol.4, October 2003.
- [2] Pamudji, Agsari Aulia & Achmadi, Tri, (2012). Pengembangan Indikator Logistik untuk Wilayah Kepulauan, Jurnal Teknik ITS, Vol.1, September, 2012.
- [3] World Bank, (2018). Logistics Performance Index, <http://lpi.worldbank.org/>
- [4] UNCTAD, (2003). Report of The Expert Meeting on The Development of Multimodal Transport and Logistics Service.
- [5] Taylor, J., & Jackson, G. (2000). Conflict, Power, and Evolution in the Intermodal Transportation Industry's Channel of Distribution. *Transportation Journal*, 39(3), 5-17.
- [6] UN-ECE, 2001, Terminology on Combined Transport. New York and Geneva, United Nations (UN) and Economic Commission for Europe (ECE).
- [7] Crainic, T.G. & Kim, K.H., Intermodal Transportation, Chapter 8 in *Transportation, Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science*, C. Barnhart and G. Laporte (Eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [8] Ngamvichaikit, A. (2017). The Competency Development of Multimodal Transportation Management for Logistics Professional in Thailand. *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance*, 8(1), 62–66. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijtef.2017.8.1.540>
- [9] Bubnova, G. V., Efimova, O. V., Karapetyants, I. V., & Kurenkov, P.V. (2018). Digitalization of intellectualization of logistics of intermodal and multimodal transport. MATEC Web of Conferences, 236, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201823602013>
- [10] King, Mike, 2013. Complex Logistics Network, Inadequate Infrastructure Hinder Indonesia's Growth, *Journal of Commerce*, New York, 18 Oct 2013.
- [11] Banomyong, Ruth., Cook, P., Kent, P., 2008, Formulating regional Logistics Development Policy: The Case of ASEAN, *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications: A Leading Journal of Supply Chain Management*, Vol.11, No 5.
- [12] Tongzon, Jose & Nguyen, Hing-Oanh, 2013, ITC Adoption among Logistics Companies in ASEAN Countries, *Transport Reviews: A Transnational Transdisciplinary Journal*, Vol.33, No 5.
- [13] Budisiswanto, N., Miharja, M., Kombaitan, B., & Pradono, P. (2018). Multimodal Freight Transport Regulations in Indonesia and Its Implementation (A Case Study of Tanjung Priok Port). *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 158(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/158/1/012021>.
- [14] B. Guy Peters. 2018. The challenge of policy coordination, *Policy Design and Practice*, 1:1, 1-11, DOI: 10.1080/25741292.2018.1437946
- [15] Budisiswanto, N., Miharja, M., Pradono, P., & Kombaitan, B. (2017). Institutional analysis for multimodal transport to support logistic system in port of Tanjung Priok: Methodological framework. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 6(3), 199–206.
- [16] Ketokivi, M., & Mahoney, J. T. (2020). Transaction Cost Economics as a Theory of Supply Chain Efficiency. *Production and Operations Management*, 29(4), 1011–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13148>
- [17] Williamson, Oliver E., (1975). *Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Antitrust Implications*. New York: The Free Press.
- [18] Williamson, Oliver E. (2000). The New Institutional Economics: Taking Stock, Looking Ahead. *Journal of Economic Literature* V38 n3 (2000).
- [19] Dietrich, Michael, (1994). *Transaction Cost Economics and Beyond – toward a new economics of firms*. London & New York, Routledge.
- [20] Yustika, Ahmad Erani. (2012). *Ekonomi Kelembagaan: Paradigma, Teori dan Kebijakan*. Jakarta. Erlangga.
- [21] Hansen Henten, A. & Maria Windekilde, I. (2016), "Transaction costs and the sharing economy", *info*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1108/info-09-2015-0044>
- [22] Elias, A.A., Cavana, R.Y., Jackson, L.S. 2002. Stakeholder analysis for R&D project management. *R&D Management*, Vol.32, Iss.4, pp.301-310
- [23] Petrie, Murray. (2002). A framework for public sector performance contracting. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*: 117-153.
- [24] Bendickson, J., Muldoon, J., Liguori, E. and Davis, P.E. (2016), "Agency theory: the times, they are a-changin'", *Management Decision*, Vol. 54 No. 1, pp. 174-193. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-02-2015-0058>
- [25] Panda, B., & Leepsa, N. M. (2017). Agency theory: Review of theory and evidence on problems and perspectives. *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, 10 (1), 74–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0974686217701467>
- [26] Ross, S.A. (1973). The Economic Theory of Agency: The Principal's Problem. *American Economic Review* 63 (2), 134-139.
- [27] Eisenhardt, K. (1989). Agency theory: An assessment and review. *Academy of Management Review* 14 (1), 57-74.
- [28] Buckley, J., & Chapman, M. (1997). The perception and measurement of transaction costs. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 21(2), 127–145. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.cje.a013663>
- [29] Brown SR. (1980). *Political Subjectivity: Applications of Q Methodology in Political Science*. New Haven, CT: Yale University
- [30] Brown SR. (1993). *A Primer on Q Methodology*. *Operant Subjectivity* 16(3/4):91138 Buckley dan Chapman (1997).
- [31] Maxwell, J.A. (2005). *Qualitative research design: an interactive approach*. 2nd edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.
- [32] Watts, S., & Stenner, P. 2012. *Doing Q methodological research: Theory, method & interpretation*. Sage.
- [33] Reed, M.S., Graves, A., Dandy, N., Posthumus, H., Hubacek, K., Morris, J., Prell, C., Quinn, C.H., Stringer L.C. (2009). Who's in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis methods for natural resource management. *Journal of Environmental Management*. Vol.90, pp. 1933-1949.
- [34] Khurram, S., Pestre, F., & Petit, S. C. (2019). Taking stock of the stakeholder salience tradition: Renewing the research agenda. *Management (France)*, 22(2), 141–175. <https://doi.org/10.3917/mana.222.0141>
- [35] Bryson, J.M. (2004). What to do when Stakeholders matter. *Public Management Review*, Vol.6, Iss.1, pp. 21-53
- [36] Bryson, J. M. (2004). What to do when stakeholders matter: Stakeholder Identification and analysis techniques. *Public Management Review*, 6(1), 21–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030410001675722>
- [37] Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., Wood, D. J., & Mitchell, R. K. (1997). Toward a Theory of Stakeholder Identification and Salience: Defining the Principle of Who and What Counts. 22(4), 853–886.
- [38] Eisenhardt, K. (1989). Agency theory: An assessment and review. *Academy of Management Review* 14 (1), 57-74.
- [39] Chiles, T. H., & McMackin, J. F. (1996), Integrating variable risk preferences, trust, and transaction cost economics. *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 21, no.1, pp.73-99. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-02-2015-0058>
- [40] Ho, G. W. K. (2017). Examining Perceptions and Attitudes: A Review of Likert-Type Scales Versus Q-Methodology. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 39(5), 674–689. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945916661302>